# Call title: FP7-SEC-2012-1

• Call identifier: FP7-SEC-2012-1

• **Date of publication**: 20/July/2011

• **Deadline**: 23/November/2011 at 17.00.00, Brussels local time <sup>1</sup>

• Indicative budget: Total call budget EUR 241.7 million <sup>2</sup>

The budget for this call is indicative. The final budget awarded to actions implemented through calls for proposals may vary:

- An indicative 45% (deviation possible from 35% to 55%) of the budget for topics to be implemented through Integration Projects and Demonstration Projects.
- An indicative 55% (deviation possible from 45% to 65%) of the budget for the other topics.
- Within the above indicative limits, up to 3% can be used for international cooperation partners within selected projects; an indicative limit of up to 5% can be used for SMEs in the topic 7.2-1 and an indicative limit of up to 4% can be used for the Pre-Operational-Validation topic set out in topic 3.1-2. The final budget of the call may vary by up to 10% of the total value of the indicated budget for each call; and
- Any repartition of the call budget may also vary by up to 10% of the total value of the indicated budget for the call.

#### **Topics called:**

Activity/ Area **Topics called Funding Schemes Activity: 10.1 Increasing the Security of the Citizens** Area: 10.1.1 None Organised crime Area: 10.1.2 None Intelligence against terrorism Area: 10.1.3 SEC-2012.1.3-1 Less than Lethal CP-FP **Explosives** Handling of PBIEDs SEC-2012.1.3-2 Home made CP-FP explosives (HMEs) and recipes characterisation Area: 10.1.4 None Ordinary Crime and Forensic SEC-2012.1.5-1 CBRNE Demo Phase Area: 10.1.5 CP-IP **CBRN Protection** SEC-2012.1.5-2 Improving drinking CP-FP water security management and mitigation in large municipalities

<sup>2</sup> Under the condition that the draft budget for 2012 is adopted without modification by the budgetary authority.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Director-General responsible may delay this deadline by up to two months.

	against major deliberate, accidental or	
	natural CBRN-related contaminations	
	SEC-2012.1.5-3 Identification and	CP-FP or CSA
	development of low-risk alternatives	CI-II of CS/I
	to high-risk chemicals	
	SEC-2012.1.5-4 Securing the food	CP-FP
	chains from primary production and	CITI
	animal feeds to consumer ready food	
	against deliberate, accidental or	
	natural CBRN contamination	
Area: 10.1.6	SEC-2012.1.6-1 Digital, miniaturised	CP-FP
Information Gathering	operational tool for investigation	
Activity: 10.2 Security of in	frastructures and utilities	
Area: 10.2.1	SEC-2012.2.1-1 Resilience of large	CP-FP
Design, planning of	scale urban built infrastructure	
buildings and urban areas	SEC-2012.2.1-2 Criticality analysis of	CP-FP
	critical infrastructure including	
	concepts for forgery proof and	
	efficient facility access systems	
Area: 10.2.2	SEC-2012.2.2-1 Identification of	CSA
Energy, Transport,	measures to counter illegal export of	
communication grids	metal-bearing waste	
8	SEC-2012.2.2-2 Air traffic	CP-IP
	Management/Control threat	
	assessment model	
	SEC-2012.2.2-3 Improving security in	CP-IP
	air cargo transport	
	SEC-2012.2.2-4 A common EU	CSA
	aviation security requirement to	
	reduce costs and facilitate passenger	
	flows	
Area: 10.2.3	SEC-2012.2.3-1 Early warning	CP-FP
Surveillance	security systems: physical protection	
	of critical buildings	
Area: 10.2.4	SEC-2012.2.4-1 Pre-normative	CSA
Supply chain	technology development for improved	
	and more efficient security of the	
	supply chain	
Area: 10.2.5	SEC-2012.2.5-1 Convergence of	CP-FP
Cyber crime	physical and cyber security	
	SEC-2012.2.5-2 Cyber resilience –	CP-FP
	Secure cloud computing for critical	
	infrastructure	
	rveillance and border security	T
Area: 10.3.1	SEC-2012.3.1-1 Increasing	CP-FP
Sea borders	trustworthiness of vessel reporting	
	systems	
	SEC-2012.3.1-2 Pre-Operational	CP-CSA
	Validation (POV) at EU level of	
	common application of Surveillance	

	tools	
Area: 10.3.2	None	
Land borders		
Area: 10.3.3	None	
Air borders		
Area: 10.3.4	SEC-2012.3.4-1 Research on	CP-FP
Border checks	"automated" comparison of x-ray	
	images for cargo scanning with	
	reference material (use of historic	
	images in an automated environment)	
	to identify irregularities	
	SEC-2012.3.4-2 Research and	CP-FP
	validation for sub-surface fingerprint	
	live scanners	
	SEC-2012.3.4-3 Tools and processes	CSA
	for assessing the impact of	
	policies/actions on border control	
	SEC-2012.3.4-4 Innovative, cost-	CP-FP
	efficient and reliable technology to	
	detect humans hidden in	
	vehicles/closed compartments	
	SEC-2012.3.4-5 Further research,	CP-FP
	development and pilot implementation	
	of Terahertz passive detection	
	techniques (T-Ray)	
	SEC-2012.3.4-6 Enhancing the	CP-IP
	workflow and functionalities of	
	Automated Border Control (ABC)	
	gates	
Area: 10.3.5	SEC-2012.3.5-1 Development of	CP-IP
Border intelligent	airborne sensors and data link	
surveillance		
Activity: 10.4 Restoring secu	rity and safety in case of crisis	
Area: 10.4.1	SEC-2012.4.1-1 Preparedness for and	CP-IP
Preparedness, prevention,	management of large scale fires	
mitigation and planning	SEC-2012.4.1-2 Psycho social support	CP-FP
	in Crisis Management	
Area: 10.4.2	SEC-2012.4.2-1 Positioning and	CP-FP
Response	timing tools to guarantee security	
_	assets trace & tracking together with	
	worker safety in a secure environment	
	SEC-2012.4.2-2 Situational awareness	CP-IP
	guidance and evacuation systems for	
	large crowds, including crowds	
	unpredictable behaviour	
	SEC-2012.4.2-3 Post crisis lesson	CSA
	learned exercise	
Area: 10.4.3	SEC-2012.4.3-1 Next generation	CP-FP
Recovery	damage and post-crisis needs	
-	assessment tool for reconstruction and	
	abbonding tool for recollent action and	<u>L</u>

	recovery planning	
Area: 10.4.4	SEC-2012.4.4-1 Development of	CSA
CBRN Response	mobile laboratories, structures and	CS/1
CDIC Response	functions to support rapid assessment	
	of CBRN events with a cross-border	
	or international impact	
	SEC-2012.4.4-2 Means of	CP-FP
	decontamination of large groups,	C1-11
	urban/wide areas and large, complex	
	and/or sensitive object	CD ID
	SEC-2012.4.4-3 Tools for detection,	CP-IP
	traceability, triage and individual	
	monitoring of victims after a mass	
	contamination	
	ns integration, interconnectivity and in	teroperability 
Area: 10.5.1	None	
Information Management	GEG 2012 5 2 1 P	CD ED
Area: 10.5.2	SEC-2012.5.2-1 Preparation of the	CP-FP
Secure Communications	next generation of PPDR	
	communication network	
Area: 10.5.3	SEC-2012.5.3-1 Embedded protection	CP-FP
Interoperability	of security systems and anti-tampering	
	technologies	
	SEC-2012.5.3-2 Establishment of a	CSA
	first responders platform for	
	interoperability	
	SEC-2012.5.3-3 Establishment of a	NoE
	interoperability platform/centre for	
	testing and validating decision and	
	intelligence systems	
	SEC-2012.5.3-4 Global solution for	CP-IP
	interoperability between first	
	responder communication systems	
Area: 10.5.4	None	
Standardisation	Tione	
Activity: 10.6 Security and s	ociety	l
Area: 10.6.1	SEC-2012.6.1-1 Methodologies to	CP-FP or CSA
Citizens, media and security	assess the effectiveness of measures	
	addressing violent radicalisation	
	SEC-2012.6.1-2 Tools and	CP-FP or Coordination
	methodologies, definitions and	and Support Action
	strategies for privacy by design for	and Support Action
	surveillance technologies, including	
	ICT systems	
	SEC-2012.6.1-3 Use of new	CP-FP or Coordination
	communication/social media in crisis	and Support Action
A ma o 10 6 2	situations	
Area: 10.6.2	None	
Organisational requirements		
for interoperability of public		

Waama		
users Area: 10.6.3	SEC 2012 6.2.1 Davidaring on	CP-FP
	SEC-2012.6.3-1 Developing an efficient and effective environmental	CP-FP
Foresight, scenarios and		
security as an evolving	scanning system as part of the early	
concept	warning system for the detection of	
	emerging organised crime threats	GG A
	SEC-2012.6.3-2 Criteria for assessing	CSA
	and mainstreaming societal impacts of	
	security research activities	
Area: 10.6.4	SEC-2012.6.4-1 Fight against	CSA
Security economics	corruption	
Area: 10.6.5	SEC-2012.6.5-1 Legitimacy and	CP or CSA
Ethics and Justice	effectiveness of legal measures against	
	security threats	
· ·	rch coordination and structuring	
Area: 10.7.1	None	
ERA-Net		
Area: 10.7.2	SEC-2012.7.2-1 Open topic for Small	CP-FP
Small and Medium	and Medium Enterprises: "Advancing	
Enterprises	contemporary forensic methods and	
	equipment"	
Area: 10.7.3	None	
Studies		
Area: 10.7.4	SEC-2012.7.4-1 Coordination of	CSA
Other coordination	national research programmes in the	
	area of security research	
	SEC-2012.7.4-2 Networking of	NoE
	researchers for a high level multi-	
	organisational and cross-border	
	collaboration	
Area: 10.7.5	None	
End users		
Area: 10.7.6	None	
Training		
6		

# • Eligibility conditions:

- The general eligibility criteria are set out in Annex 2 of this work programme, and in the guide for applicants. Please note that the completeness criterion also includes that part B of the proposal shall be readable, accessible and printable.
- Table of standard minimum number of participating legal entities for all funding schemes used in the call, in line with the Rules for Participation and in the below format:

Funding scheme	Minimum conditions
Collaborative Projects	At least 3 independent legal entities, each of
	which is established in a MS or AC, and no 2
	of which are established in the same MS or

	AC
Network of Excellence	At least 3 independent legal entities, each of
	which is established in a MS or AC, and no 2
	of which are established in the same MS or
	AC
Coordination and Support Actions	At least 3 independent legal entities, each of
(coordinating action)	which is established in a MS or AC, and no 2
	of which are established in the same MS or
	AC
Coordination and Support Actions	At least 1 independent legal entity.
(supporting action)	

- Only information provided in part A of the proposal will be used to determine whether the proposal is eligible with respect to the minimum number of eligible participants.
- Proposals containing any classified information shall be declared ineligible.

# - Additional eligibility criterion:

Topic "SEC-2012.3.1-2 Pre-Operation Validation (POV) at EU level of common application of surveillance tools" requires the participation of at least 3 independent public authorities in charge of border surveillance (at either local, regional, national or supra-national level) no 2 of which are established in the same MS or AC (documents proving the status of the participant have to be provided).

## • Evaluation procedure:

- The evaluation criteria and scoring scheme are set out in Annex 2 to the work programme.
- Proposal page limits: Applicants must ensure that proposals conform to the page limits and layout given in the Guide for Applicants, and in the proposal part B template available through the EPSS.

The Commission may instruct the experts to disregard any pages exceeding these limits.

The minimum font size allowed is 11 points. The page size is A4, and all margins (top, bottom, left, right) should be at least 15 mm (not including any footers or headers).

- A one-stage submission procedure will be followed.
- Proposals will be evaluated in a single-step procedure.
- Experts will carry out the individual evaluation of proposals remotely.
- The procedure for prioritising proposals with equal scores is described in Annex 2 to the work programme.

- **Indicative timetable**: This call in 2011 invites proposals to be funded in 2012. Evaluation of proposals is foreseen to be carried out in January/February 2012. It is expected that the grant agreement negotiations for the short listed proposals will be opened in the first half of 2012.
- Consortia agreements are required for all action.

### • Particular requirement for participation, evaluation and implementation:

#### Classified Information

Proposals must not contain any *classified information* (note that the proposed action itself *can* involve classified information). If classified inputs are required to carry out a proposed action or the output of the action needs to be classified, proposers have to ensure the following:

- provide evidence of the clearance of all relevant facilities;
- clarify issues such as e.g. access to classified information or export or transfer control with the National Security Authorities (NSA) of their Member States / Associated Countries, and provide evidence of the prior agreement of their NSAs;
- provide a Security Aspect Letter (SAL), indicating the levels of classification required at deliverables/partners level.

Absence of any of these elements may lead the Commission to decide not to proceed to negotiation of a grant agreement even if the proposal is evaluated positively. Furthermore, appropriate arrangements have to be included in the consortium agreement.

If the proposal is evaluated positively and invited for the negotiation, a definitive version of the SAL and of the SCG will be annexed to the Description of Work and must be worked out during negotiations. Special clauses will be introduced in the Grant Agreement. National security authorities will be consulted after the evaluation and before the negotiation through their representatives in the Security Assessment ad-hoc group from the Security Programme Committee. They will have the possibility to make recommendations regarding 'classified information' issues to be taken into account during the negotiation.

For projects based on proposals which did not contain SAL but that have been subject to security recommendations following the above procedure, a SAL and its SCG annex could be required during the negotiations.

#### Ethical Review

Proposed activities shall be carried out in compliance with fundamental ethical principles. If ethical issues, including privacy are raised, they should be addressed in the core of the proposed activity. In addition, the potential impact of the resulting technologies and activities on Fundamental Rights, ethical principles and societal values should be addressed as part of the proposed research.

Small and Medium Enterprises (SME) and end-users
Consortia are strongly encouraged to actively involve SMEs and end users.

#### **Evaluation**

The *evaluation criteria* (including weights and thresholds) and sub-criteria, together with the eligibility, selection and award criteria for the different funding schemes are set out in Annex 2 to this work programme.

Coordinators of all integration project proposals and of all demonstration projects (phase II) proposals that pass all the evaluation thresholds may be invited to a *hearing*.

As a result of the evaluation, a ranked list of proposals retained for funding will be drawn up as well as a reserve list of proposals that may be funded in case budget becomes available during negotiations.

Positively evaluated proposals involving sensitive and classified information, those involving international co-operation as well as those collaborative projects where 75% funding for all participants is foreseen will be flagged to the members of the *Security Programme Committee* configuration and dealt with according to its Rules for Procedure.

• The forms of grants and maximum reimbursement rates which will be offered are specified in Annex 3 to the Cooperation work programme.

Proposers claiming that their proposal should receive EU funding for research activities up to 75% for specific reasons as described on page 8 of this document should demonstrate in the proposal that the exceptional required conditions apply.

• Flat rates to cover subsistence costs: In accordance with Annex 3 to this work programme, this call provides for the possibility to use flat rates to cover subsistence costs incurred by beneficiaries during travel carried out within grants for indirect actions. For further information, see the relevant Guides for Applicants for this call. The applicable flat rates are available at the following website: http://cordis.europa.eu/fp7/find-doc\_en.html under 'Guidance documents/Flat rates for daily allowances'.